Triggering and dynamic evolution of the LUSI mud volcano, Indonesia
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Abstract

Mud volcanoes are geologically important manifestations of vertical fluid flow and mud eruption in sedimentary basins worldwide. Their formation is predominantly ascribed to release of overpressure from clay- and organic-rich sediments, leading to impressive build-up of mud mountains in submarine and subaerial settings. Here we report on a newly born mud volcano appearing close to an active magmatic complex in a backarc sedimentary basin in Indonesia. The location of the mud volcano close to magmatic volcanoes results in a high background temperature gradient that triggers mineralogical transformations and geochemical reactions at shallow depth. The eruption of 100 °C mud and gas that started the 29th of May 2006 flooded a large area within the Sidoarjo village in Northeast Java. Thousands of people have so far been evacuated due to the mud flood hazards from the eruption. Since the initial eruption, the flow rate escalated from 5000 to 120,000 m3/d during the first eleven weeks. Then the erupted volume started to pulsate between almost zero and 120,000 m3/d in the period August 14 to September 10, whereas it increased dramatically following swarms of earthquakes in September, before reaching almost 180,000 m3/d in December 2006. Sampling and observations were completed during two fieldwork campaigns on the site. The eruption of boiling water is accompanied by mud, aqueous vapour, CO2 and CH4. Based on geochemical and field results, we propose a mechanism where the eruptions started following the 27th of May earthquake due to fracturing and accompanied depressurization of > 100 °C pore fluids from > 1700 m depth. This resulted in the formation of a quasi-hydrothermal system with a geyser-like surface expression and with an activity influenced by the regional seismicity.
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1. Introduction

An unexpected eruption of mud and fluids took place the 29th of May 2006, ~ 200 m away from a 2833 m deep hydrocarbon exploration well (BJP1) in the Porong area, Sidoarjo, East Java (Fig. 1). The eruption site was named LUSI (Lumpur “mud”-Sidoarjo), and the area covered by the mud flows reached more than 6.3 km² in May 2007. Approximately 8800 families (~ 30,000 people) have been displaced despite the network of dams continuously built to contain the mud.
LUSI represents a unique opportunity to study the birth and evolution of a mud volcano, as most studies are conducted during the dormant periods between eruptions of already existing structures. Mud volcano eruptions normally last for only a few days, and very little is known about their eruption dynamics (Jakubov et al., 1971). The common observation in most mud volcanoes is that the temperature of the erupted mud is cooler than the temperature in the source region, which typically would be $< 75$ °C although few have been extensively monitored (Mukhtarov et al., 2003). In both respects, the LUSI mud eruption is an intriguing exception, as one year after its appearance it was still active and erupting boiling water and mud. This paper presents the data collected during two field studies integrated with a database acquired before and during the drilling of the BJP1 exploration well located 200 m away from the mud volcano. Our aim is to describe the eruption dynamics of LUSI and to identify the possible causes of the sudden eruption.

2. Methods

Two field studies were conducted at the LUSI eruption site in September 2006 and February 2007. Geochemical analyses were completed on gas, water and mud samples collected from within and around the LUSI eruption site.

Estimates of eruption volumes and fluxes are semi-quantitative and were done based on visual daily observations on catchment areas covered by a certain thickness of mud.

Six monitoring surveys controlling the subsidence in the Sidoarjo area have been conducted since the beginning of the LUSI eruption. Approximately 20 GPS stations have been used.

Gas composition during various stages was analysed on site using hand-held instruments for CH$_4$ and H$_2$S. Methane was analysed relative to the lower explosive limit (LEL) in air where 20% LEL corresponds to 10000 ppm. Gases were vacuumed using syringes from the eruption clouds on the edge of the crater in September 2006 and stored in glass bottles sealed with brine. Gas composition was analysed with a Hewlett Packard 5890 Series II GC equipped with Porabond Q column, a flame ionisation detector (FID), a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a methylation unit. Hydrocarbons were measured by FID, CO$_2$ by methylation (to CH$_4$) and then FID and N$_2$ and O$_2$ by TCD. The carbon isotopic composition of the hydrocarbon gas...
components was determined by a GC-C-IRMS system. Repeated analyses of standards indicate that the reproducibility of $\delta^{13}$C values is better than 1‰ PDB (2 sigma). The hydrogen isotopic composition of methane was determined by a GC-C-IRMS system.

The stratigraphy at the Sidoarjo locality (Fig. 2) consists of (top-down) 1) alluvial sediments; 2) Pleistocene alternating sandstone and shale of the Pucangan Formation, (up to 900 m depth), 3) Pleistocene bluish gray clay of the Upper Kalibeng Formation, to 1871 m depth and 4) volcaniclastic sand at least 962 m thick. The Porong#1 well that was drilled 6.5 km NE from Sidoarjo showed that Plio-Pleistocene (?) sediments directly overlay the top of the Miocene coralline limestone. Strontium isotopes indicate an absolute age of the limestone of approximately 16 Ma. Kusumastuti et al. (2002) ascribe this limestone to the Kujung Formation. BJP1 drilled the lower part of the turbidite sand formation, but, in contrast with previous assumptions (Davies et al., 2007), well logging measurements show no direct evidence that the Kujung Formation has been intersected in the borehole (Fig. 2). The deepest cuttings did not reveal the presence of any carbonate, and calcimetry data indicate only 4% calcite with no significant increase or changes. The drilled Pleistocene claystones (~ 600–1830 m) were rapidly deposited (Willumsen and Schiller, 1994), explaining the observed undercompacted and overpressured sequences particularly in the shale unit between 1323–1871 m. Comparable geological conditions have resulted in wide spread mud volcanism in settings like the Caspian Basin and in the Black Sea (e.g. Jakubov et al., 1971; Ivanov et al., 1996; Planke et al., 2004).

4. Survey data and results

4.1. Eruption history and dynamics

Scattered small surface water leakages (seeps) were detected in the early morning of the 29th of May 2006, which evolved to become the LUSI eruption within the next few hours. Here, boiling mud containing ~ 60% water was ejected several tens of meters above the crater together with up to 50 m high flares of steam (Fig. 3(A)). A circular crater rapidly developed. Several nearby sand eruption sites emerged within a few days after the main eruption (Fig. 3(B)). A fracture hundreds of meters long and tens of centimetres wide was also observed a few days after the eruption in the proximity of the still
operating BJP1 exploration well (Fig. 3 (C)–(D)). The spatial pattern of the fracture and the new eruption sites developed towards the NE coinciding with a NE–SW fault crossing the area (Figs. 4 and 1). The presence of this fault is inferred from regional seismic interpretations and from field observations (Fig. 3(F)), and extends from the Arjuno–Welirang volcanic complex all the way to the north-eastern coast (Fig. 1(A)–(B)). The new sandy eruption sites in Fig. 3(B) were buried during the second week of June by the large amount of mud erupted from the main crater (Fig. 3). New small eruption sites appeared in November 2006 ~ 1 km to the SW of the main crater. These observations indicate that the plumbing system in the subsurface was continuously evolving. The volumes of erupted mud increased from the initial 5000 m$^3$/d in the early stage to 120,000 m$^3$/d in August 2006 (Fig. 5). Peaks of 160,000 and 170,000 m$^3$/d of erupted material follow earthquakes swarms during September 2006 (Fig. 5). In December 2006 the flux reached the record-high level of 180,000 m$^3$/d. LUSI was still active in June 2007 expelling more than 110,000 m$^3$/d and 44 isolated seepages have been mapped around the mud covered area.

The subsidence around the LUSI area has been monitored since the early stages of the eruption. The data collected reveal that a ~ 22 km$^2$ area subsides in average from 1–4 cm/d. The formation of a caldera and collapse structures around an eruption site is a typical phenomenon related to mud volcano craters (e.g. Cita et al., 1996; Planke et al., 2004). Interestingly, around...
LUSI the subsiding area has the shape of an ellipsoid (axis 7×4 km) elongated along the SW–NE fault orientation.

4.2. Temperature readings and gradient

Temperatures measured from a mud flow within 20 m of the LUSI crater revealed values as high as 97 °C (February 2007). The temperature of the crater could not be measured directly, but likely reached 100 °C, accounting for the boiling water and the steam erupted. The high temperature of the erupted fluids is mirrored by a high temperature gradient in the BJB1 borehole. Down-hole measurements show that 100 °C is reached at a depth of 1700 m and 138 °C at 2667 m. This demonstrates an unusually high geothermal gradient (42 °C/km) that is possibly related to the proximity of the volcanic arc (Fig. 2).

4.3. Composition of the erupted gas and water

Since the beginning of the eruption the bulk of the erupted gas was composed of aqueous vapour. Besides aqueous vapour, measurements conducted with handheld instruments during the initial burst showed that the gas contained methane (LEL = 20% held instruments during the initial burst showed that aqueous vapour, measurements conducted with hand-held instruments during the initial burst showed that the gas contained methane (LEL = 20% – 10,000 ppm) and traces of H2S (35 ppm). Further sampling and gas analyses showed that methane and carbon dioxide are the main components of the erupted gas in addition to water vapour (Table 1). In more detail, gas sampled in July in the proximity of the crater showed CO2 contents between 9.9% and 11.3%, CH4 between 83% and 85.4%, and traces of heavier hydrocarbons. In September, the steam collected from the crater showed a CO2 content up to 74.3% in addition to CH4. Simultaneously, the gas sampled from a 30.8 °C seep 500 m away from the crater had a lower CO2 content (18.7%). The four gas samples collected during the September campaign were analysed for δ13C in CO2 and CH4. The δ13C values for CO2 and CH4 vary from −14.3‰ to −18.4‰ and from −48.6‰ to −51.8‰, respectively (Table 1).

The composition of the expelled water from near the crater shows a chloride content 39% lower than sea water, with chloride concentration of about 11,300 ppm and sodium of 7300 ppm (Table 2). The concentration of other solutes like SO4 and Mg are furthermore lower than in sea water. Compounds like B and Ca on the other hand are enriched. Bubbling and evaporation in the crater might increase the salinity with time, but fluid is being constantly erupted at a high rate. The waters collected are enriched in 18O (δ18O = 9.0‰ in the crater and 3.7‰ far from crater) compared to sea water and normal pore fluids from sedimentary basins, whereas δD values (−12.7‰ – 14.4‰) are depleted compared to sea water.

4.4. The source of the erupted mud

Clay mineral analyses of the thirteen samples collected from the borehole showed very similar sediment lithology and origin. Except for a relatively thin unit of almost pure smectite claystone (1341–1432 m) suggesting a period of high rate volcaniclastic deposition in marine environment, all other samples are composed of kaolinite, smectite and illite mixture. Three main intervals are identified and demonstrate progressive changes detected in clay mineral assemblages upon burial. 1) 1109–1341 m: the expandable smectite–illite phase is irregular and contains 35–45% of illite layers, illite crystallinity is low, chlorite is not detected; 2) 1432–1615 m: the illite layers compose 45–55% of smectite–illite, subregular and regular rectorite-like phases are present, kaolinite loses crystallinity, chlorite traces are present; 3) 1615–1828 m: the illite layers take up to 65% of smectite–illite, the chlorite traces increase in amount and crystallinity. The LUSI erupted mud has a clay mineralogy very similar to the samples from interval 1615–1828 m: illite layers with 65% of smectite–illite, and chlorite with higher crystallinity present in traces. The LUSI mud contains more smectite than most samples from the borehole, suggesting either a volcanoclastic source layer or mixing with material from the smectite-rich interval (1341–1432 m) on the way to the surface.

Comparing the borehole biostratigraphy with well cuttings demonstrate that the erupted mud is sourced from clay intervals between 1219–1828 m. Furthermore, when correlating vitrinite reflectivity data of the erupted mud (0.55 to 0.69% Ro) with the borehole data, a maturity of > 0.65% Ro of the organic matter is reached at ~ 1700 m. (Fig. 2). Hence the erupted mud has a deep origin and is migrating from depths of at least 1219 m and probably as deep as 1828 m.

5. Discussion

5.1. Origin of erupting solids and fluids

Based on combined biostratigraphy, clay minerals and vitrinite reflectivity results, the main source of the erupted mud can be constrained between ~ 1615–1828 m. However the presence of volcaniclastic sand (normally sourced from the Pucangan Fm. or from below
1871 m) erupted after the initial stages of LUSI activity (Fig. 3(B)) could be related to a combination of factors. The proposed alternatives include: a) fluidization of the Pucangan sandy layers during the water-rich fluids rise, b) partial fluidization of the uppermost part of the turbidite unit due to water volume increase during clay mineral dehydration, c) partial sand fluidization following seismic activity, d) possible flux of fluids rising from the deeper sited Kujung Formation. Geochemical results are consistent with the geological observations suggesting a mixture of deep and shallow fluids. The gas isotopic composition supports the hypothesis of a mixed biogenic and thermogenic origin of the gases erupted at LUSI (e.g. cfr. Bernard et al., 1978; Whiticar, 1999). The relatively low $\delta^{13}$C$_{CH_4}$ (down to $-51.8\%$) indicates input from biogenic gas mixed with a thermogenic contribution as supported by the presence of heavier hydrocarbons. In our case, the overpressured clayey units (1323–1871 m) are good candidates for source layers of biogenic gas, while the isotopically heavier thermogenic gas could have migrated from deeper sited formations (e.g. Ngimbang Formation situated at greater depth, Wilson et al., 2000). The constant presence of H$_2$S since the beginning of the eruption could also suggest a contribution of deep gas or, most likely, H$_2$S previously formed at shallow depth in layers rich in SO$_4$ and/or methane or organic matter. The rapidly varying composition of the erupted gas (Table 1) also indicates a complex system of sources and reactions before and during the eruption. While the origin of methane is traced to organic material, the high amount of CO$_2$ in the gas phase is surprising. A microbial origin of CO$_2$ at LUSI is indicated by the $\delta^{13}$C$_{CO_2}$ values (as low as $-18.4\%$). Comparable values are detected in numerous organic-rich sedimentary basins where the CO$_2$ is produced in significant amounts and normally dissolved in the pore waters. In our case the CO$_2$ solubility in pore waters from the overpressured intervals is on the order of 47 g/L (at

Fig. 3. The LUSI eruption site. (A) The power of the eruption increasing after the 29th of May. Two days after the birth of LUSI, steam dominated eruption of mud ejected in the air for several tens of meters; (B) helicopter image of LUSI (3) and other eruption sites (4–5) that progressively appeared after the 29th of May. These sites appear to be aligned on a fault oriented SW–NE, cfr. Fig. 4 for details on sites. Note: Sites 4 and 5, that appeared in sequence after LUSI, showed high content of sand; (C) a long fracture oriented SW–NE was observed in the vicinity of the drilling site; (D) area framed in image A showing a detail of the fracture; (E) view of the LUSI crater from the dam framing the crater. Excavator (circled) for scale; (F) the intersection between the fault and the railway shows bending of the rails that occurred after the 27th of May earthquake confirming that the seismic activity affected the fault movements; (G) seismic profile before the LUSI eruption shows the presence of pre-existing vertical piercement structure with upwards dipping strata coinciding with SW–NE oriented fault.

Fig. 4. Satellite image of the area around LUSI before the eruption. Blue stars indicate the locations of the seepages and eruption sites observed during the first week since the 29th of May. The eruption sites and their evolution appear to be aligned following a preferential orientation that coincides with the fault oriented SW-NE. The orientation of the fault is marked by a dashed yellow line. At LUSI eruption site fluids and mud were initially observed to erupt from three narrowly spaced distinct locations (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.).
Fig. 5. Monitoring performed at the LUSI site. Periods of stronger eruption coincide with recorded peaks in H2S and CH4 content. Stars indicate the recorded earthquakes with M>3.7 and with epicentre within 300 km from LUSI (Source: USGS). It should be noted that the monitoring during the months of June and July was not conducted on a daily rate and therefore not as accurate as for the remaining part of the record; after the 26th of September, the monitoring was conducted every 4 d approximately. LEL is a measure of CH4 concentration in the gas clouds emitted, where 20% corresponds to 10,000 ppm.
300 bar, 1 M NaCl, and 100 °C (Duan and Sun, 2003). During pressure reduction and ascent towards the surface, the CO2 solubility in the water is reduced to 0.1 g/L (at 1 bar, 1 M NaCl and 100 °C), and the CO2 is accordingly released to the gas phase.

The fluids expelled with the mud have a salinity (∼ 20 g/kg) which is lower than that of seawater (∼ 35 g/kg). Their composition suggests that they were formed by dilution and diagenetic modification of seawater from the water in marine formations below LUSI. Assuming that chlorine behaved conservatively during burial and mud volcanism, we have calculated the composition of diluted seawater with a chlorinity of 325 mol l$^{-1}$ (Table 2). Compared to this freshened seawater, the LUSI fluids are enriched in B, Ca, Li, Na, Sr and Br and depleted in K, Mg and SO4. They are also enriched in 18O and depleted in 2H. Part of the freshening could be due to mixing with shallow meteoric waters. Fluid chemistry, however, suggests that freshening could also derive from diagenetic processes. The enrichment in B and Li and 18O and the depletion in 2H is typically acquired via clay mineral dehydration and has been observed in other mud volcano fluids (Dahlmann and de Lange, 2003; Hensen et al., 2004). In this process, 18O-rich, 2H-poor clay mineral interlayer water, Li and B are released to pore fluids at temperatures between 60 and 160 °C (Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993; Chan and Kastner, 2000; Dahlmann and de Lange, 2003) resulting in the observed chemical shifts and in pore water freshening. In addition to clay mineral dehydration, the depletion in Mg and K and the enrichment in Ca and Sr suggests that silicate alteration reactions could have occurred (Egeb erg, 1990; Martin et al., 1996). The most probable source of reactive silicates is the Pleistocene volcanioclastic sands. Because silicate alteration reactions consume 18O-rich water, they result in a salinity increase and a decrease in fluid pore fluid δ18O. The observed

### Table 1

Hydrocarbon and isotope composition analyses of gas emitted at different locations from the LUSI site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>iC4</th>
<th>nC4</th>
<th>iC5</th>
<th>nC5</th>
<th>C6+</th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>δ13C</th>
<th>δD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JV06-07</td>
<td>17-Sep-06</td>
<td>Small seep approximately 500 m south of main crater</td>
<td>80.44</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>−26.8</td>
<td>−25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV06-18</td>
<td>19-Sep-06</td>
<td>From large steam clouds emitted from crater</td>
<td>71.85</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>27.95</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>−16.9</td>
<td>−207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV06-18</td>
<td>19-Sep-06</td>
<td>From large steam clouds emitted from crater</td>
<td>32.91</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>65.02</td>
<td>−18.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV06-18</td>
<td>19-Sep-06</td>
<td>From large steam clouds emitted from crater</td>
<td>23.82</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>74.39</td>
<td>−17.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

Water and isotope composition analyses of fluids emitted at different locations from LUSI site compared with seawater values (SW) and diluted seawater (Dil. SW)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>SO4</th>
<th>Na/Cl</th>
<th>δ18O</th>
<th>δD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SW (mM)</td>
<td>Sea water</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>∼0</td>
<td>∼0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dil. SW (Cl=325 mM)</td>
<td>Diluted sea water</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>−12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV06-05 (mM)</td>
<td>Sample from hot and fresh mud flow</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>−5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV06-02 (mM)</td>
<td>Sample from old mud flow partly dried</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>−14.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JV05-07 (mM)</td>
<td>Small seep approximately 500 m south of main crater</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>−14.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a VSMOW values.
freshening and $^{18}$O-enrichment of the LUSI fluids implies that clay mineral dehydration dominated over silicate alteration reactions in defining the isotopic and chemical composition of pore fluids.

Is it possible that a large part of the $\sim 15$ million m$^3$ of water so far (i.e. March 2007) erupted originates from the dehydration of clay minerals as indicated by the water analyses? In order to address this question, a conservative calculation of the amount of water potentially produced by clay mineral dehydration can be done. We know that at least the 1109–1828 m interval is affected by the smectite–illite transformation. Based on surface subsidence monitoring, we estimate that a potential ellipsoidal area (axes $3.5 \times 2$ km) around the conduit acts as a source region. Considering that a) 1 m$^3$ of smectite can produce up to 0.35 m$^3$ of water during dehydration (Perry and Hower, 1972; Kholodov, 1983), that b) the average smectite content in studied clayey series is 35%, and that c) 65% of this smectite has been transformed into illite, estimates show that up to 1.2 billion m$^3$ of water is available. To this value should be added the seawater originally present in the undercompacted marine clayey units and conserved within very thin impermeable units due to fast burial. An important conclusion is that the erupted waters and clay minerals demonstrate that diagenesis at $> 1109$ m depth contributed to pressure build up in the sedimentary sequences.

5.2. Seismic triggering?

On the 27th of May 2006 at 5:54 local time a 6.3 M earthquake struck the southern part of the island of Java followed by two aftershocks measuring 4.8 and 4.6 M occurring respectively 4 and 6 h later (U.S. Geological Survey, 2006). The epicentre was recorded $\sim 25$ km SW of Yogyakarta, and caused almost 6000 deaths leaving 1.5 million of people homeless. Is there any relationship between the May 27 earthquake and the LUSI eruption? It is well documented that geysers, methane emissions, and mud volcano dynamics are linked with tectonic activity (e.g. Guliev and Feizullayev, 1997; Kopf, 2002; Hieke, 2004; Manga and Brodsky, 2006; Mellors et al., 2007; Mau et al., 2007), that eruptions can be affected even by earthquakes several thousands of kilometres away (e.g. Husen et al., 2004) where a delay of few days can occur between the earthquakes and the eruption. Similarly variations in pressure and permeability were recorded in wells located hundreds and even thousands of km from the epicentre of the earthquake (Brodsky et al., 2003). Moreover, vertical piercement structures underlying mud volcanoes are often associated with controlling factors such as faults or anticlines (e.g. Jakubov et al., 1971; Planke et al., 2004). Both active tectonics, fault and piercement structures are relevant for the LUSI location. The intensity of the 27th of May earthquake was recorded with 2–3 MMI in Surabaya and up to 4 MMI in the Northern part of the Arjuno–Welirang volcanic complex close to the LUSI eruption site (U.S. Geological Survey, 2006). A regional fault crossing the G. Penanggungan volcano and outcropping at the Watukosek escarpment extends NE towards LUSI. Where this fault intersects the railway significant bending of the rails appeared after the 27th of May earthquake (Fig. 3(F)) indicating a strong lateral activity. Similarly the Porong River shows obvious bending of its course (Fig. 1(B)) also indicating a long history of the fault feature. The same fault also accommodates other aligned mud volcanoes in the region (e.g. Gunung Anyar, Pulungan, Kalang Anyar, Bangkalan, Fig. 1). In addition, seismic profiles acquired before the May 2006 eruption showed evidence of a vertical piercement structure with upwards dipping strata around the LUSI conduit zone (Fig. 3(G)). This could be interpreted as evidence for a long history of active vertical movements of mud underneath LUSI, possibly with former eruptions or as a disturbed signal due to the fault that crosses this area. It is likely that the 27th of May seismic event redistributed the stress in several parts of Java and in particular contributed to reactivate fractures in this pre-existing fault, affecting the fluid pressure and permeability (e.g. Elkhoury et al., 2006) and ultimately triggered the eruption through the already overpressured subsurface piercement structure. This possibility is also supported by the fact that partial loss was recorded in the well fluids 10 min after the 27th of May earthquake. This record could in fact be related to movements along the fault that once activated lost its sealing capacity and become more permeable. A simultaneous decrease in gas production from the nearby Carat well also indicated that the regional plumbing system was affected by the seismic event.

Interestingly, the increased activity of small seeps in the neighbouring mud volcanoes coincides with the recent seismic event (i.e. 27th of May), showing that the local fluid flow pathways were affected. The most significant eruption was observed at Purwodadi mud volcano (central part of Java) that also erupted boiling mud and water. Between December 2006 and January 2007 new eruption sites with characteristics similar to LUSI appeared in the central (Bojonegoro mud eruption) and western (Serang mud eruption) part of Java following earthquakes.

5.3. Eruption models

The available data supports the hypothesis that the initial activity at LUSI was mainly triggered by the energy
released by the 27th of May earthquake and not by the drilling activity. It is documented that in several instances seismic events (including the 27-05-2006 earthquake) trigger and enhance the volcanic activity on Java Island (e.g. Walter et al., 2007). We suggest that deep fracturing associated with the previously described fault occurred within and above the already overpressured clayey units as a consequence of the earthquake. The fluids in the overpressured intervals (1323–1871 m) started to rise along these newly formed fractures. The triggered flow resulted in a partial pressure decrease sufficient to exsolve CO₂ from the pore water. A pressure drop to hydrostatic

Fig. 6. Images of different activity of LUSI eruption site. (A) LUSI at day one: vapour and mud are erupted in the middle of a rice pond in the early morning; (B) the activity of LUSI increased exponentially flooding villages and roads. Street light for scale; (C) period of high activity during the construction of a protective dam around the crater; (D–F) helicopter images of LUSI and the surrounding area completely flooded by the mud erupted. Note the high vapour plume in image D and the BJP1 well in image E. Image F shows a close up of the crater during one of the low activity periods.
values at 1700 m would result in a pressure decrease of about 11 MPa (Fig. 2). A pressure decrease of this magnitude at 100 °C would result in a solubility reduction of CO2 in water on the order of 6 g/L (cf. Duan and Sun, 2003). The depressurization and gas exsolution led to an escalation of vertical fluid flow and eventually to bulk mobilization of mud. Once the hot fluids and mud reached a shallow depth (∼ 200 m), the hydrostatically pressured fluids started boiling and resulted in the violent eruptions of water and mud that continues up to date (i.e. June 2007) with a pulsating behaviour. Thus the boiling combined with gas exsolution (CO2 and CH4) initiated a self-sustained system and a powerful engine capable of long-lasting mud eruption. The volume of mud erupted since the 29th of May is estimated to be higher than 27 million m³ (i.e. data updated in March 2007). The recorded time delay between the earthquake and the eruption could be explained with a mechanism similar to the one described by Miller et al. (2004) The authors described earthquakes initiating local fluid movements, that, as a consequence, trigger further earthquakes after a time delay. The fluid-earthquake system may therefore continue to evolve for some time after the main event.

An alternative hypothesis to explain the sudden eruption invokes a blow-out at the drilling site. This hypothesis would imply that the mud circulation in the well was interrupted during the drilling, followed by a pore pressure rise. This could potentially create an uncontrolled flow of reservoir fluids into the wellbore and a blow-out. Examples of blow-out from drilling sites are known (e.g. blow-out in the North Sea at Ekofisk field Bravo platform in April 1977, and in Brunei, Tingay et al., 2005). However, no kicks were recorded at the bottomhole of BJ1, and no fluids erupted through the well. Moreover the shoe of the BJ1 well (usually the weakest point of a borehole) and the bit appeared to be intact suggesting that the main eruptive conduit did not intersect the well. Borehole tests showed that there was no connection between the fluids circulating in the well and mud erupted on surface.

It is impossible to address with certitude the triggers of the LUSI eruption. However, based on the available data and evidences, the hypothesis of an eruption entirely attributed to drilling (e.g. Davies et al., 2007), is inconclusive.

5.4. Pulsations

The monitoring performed at LUSI shows that a pulsation phase occurred after the initial vigorous eruptive phase (Fig. 6). During the pulsation period that lasted from 10th August to 10th September the flow rate was gradually reduced suggesting that after an initial powerful activity and pressure release the system switched off naturally. This is interpreted as a gradual collapse of the conduit during a progressive overpressure decrease, and the development of a geyser-like behaviour. However, a sudden reactivation of the eruption, with flow-rates suddenly rising to 160,000 m³/d, coincides with a series of earthquakes the 6th and 8th of September (i.e.; Fig. 5).

Monitoring in September showed that more powerful eruptions occurred with a periodicity of ∼ 30 min. Daily pulsations are described for other mud volcanoes (e.g. Jakubov et al., 1971), but the total eruption duration rarely exceeds a few days. The best analogue to the pulsations of LUSI are geysers in hydrothermal systems, where fluids are erupted after cycles of boiling and sudden pressure release. The significant amount of H2S detected during the initial phase of the eruption and systematically increasing during peaks of activity, supports the hypothesis of fluids rising from deeper units. Nevertheless, we do not have any indications of the LUSI plumbing system being directly linked to the volcanic arc to the south, although the generally high geothermal gradient in the area could be influenced by the magmatism. Nor is there any evidence to invoke the movement of supercritical fluids at great depth as driving force for the eruption (cf. Hovland et al., 2006).

Based on the data available, we suggest that the pulsating activity of LUSI and the high temperatures reflect a quasi-hydrothermal behaviour of the eruptive system.

5.5. One year later: LUSI still active

One year after the initial burst, LUSI is still vigorously erupting. To date (i.e. June 2007) the volcano is erupting 111,042 m³/d and the average subsidence of the area reached ∼ 10.7 m. Interestingly the water content has gradually decreased to ∼ 30%, with the remaining part consist of mud and well rounded clasts from the bluish gray clay of the Upper Kalibeng Formation (i.e. typical mud breccia erupted from mud volcanoes). The roundness of the mud breccia clast is ascribed to the very poor lithification of this formation and to the vigorous ascent of the material. In February 2007 pulsations of more powerful eruption occurred every 1.5 h which is significantly higher interval than what was recorded in September 2006 (i.e. every ∼ 30 min).

Although these key observations might suggest that the volcano is slowly reducing its energy and gradually switching off, a large amount of erupted solid material poses hazardous conditions for the area. Also, due to the
drastic increase in viscosity and clast component, a fleet of tens of excavators is continuously scooping the mud breccia towards the southern area.

In order to reduce the powerful eruption, in March 2007 a new project started the deployment of concrete spheres in the crater. These spheres (density 2.4 g/cc) have a diameter varying between 20–40 cm, are connected in clusters of four balls in total (two of 20 cm and two of 40 cm in diameter) and have been coated with chemicals in order to avoid fast dissolution of the cement. 374 clusters were inserted during the first phase and 24 out of a 500 planned were deployed during the second phase. The balls apparently had reached a depth of >300 m and some a depth of more than 1000 m. Unfortunately the flow rate does not seem to be significantly affected by this deployment. A latest project proposes the building of a concrete dam surrounding the crater. This dam would be a 120 m diameter cylinder with 10 m thick walls and 50 m in height.

6. Conclusions

LUSI represents a unique opportunity to monitor and to understand the mechanisms occurring during mud volcanic eruptions since the birth of the structure. Its pulsating behaviour and the long-lasting eruption continue to provide valuable data that help to unravel the evolution of volcanic eruptions. Our data suggest that prior to the eruption the system was at a critical state and that external perturbations could initiate catastrophic events at this location. Although this conclusion is circumstantial, the observations collected indicate that the 27th of May earthquake might have triggered the eruption. We suggest a new conceptual model where the eruption is triggered by fracturing followed by vertical migration of overpressured mud. This mud rise resulted in a pressure decrease and an exsolution of dissolved gases in the pore water. This driving force allowed mud to reach the surface at significantly high speed and to induce the boiling of the deep and hot pore fluids. The phenomenon of mud volcanism is poorly studied in Indonesia although it is broadly diffused and represents a hazard in a densely populated society.
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