Transitions in the style of mantle convection at high Rayleigh numbers
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Abstract

The pattern and style of mantle convection govern the thermal evolution, internal dynamics, and large-scale surface deformation of the terrestrial planets. In order to characterize the nature of heat transport and convective behaviour at Rayleigh numbers, Ra, appropriate for planetary mantles (between $10^4$ and $10^8$), we perform a set of laboratory experiments. Convection is driven by a temperature gradient imposed between two rigid surfaces, and there is no internal heating. As the Rayleigh number is increased, two transitions in convective behaviour occur. First we observe a change from steady to time-dependent convection at $Ra \approx 10^5$. A second transition occurs at higher Rayleigh numbers, $Ra \approx 5 \times 10^6$, with large-scale time-dependent flow being replaced by isolated rising and sinking plumes. Corresponding to the latter transition, the exponent $\beta$ in the power law relating the Nusselt number $Nu$ to the Rayleigh number is reduced. Both rising and sinking plumes always consist of plume heads followed by tails. There is no characteristic frequency for the formation of plumes.
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1. Introduction

Both the vigour and pattern of mantle convection change over time. In the Earth’s mantle, the time-dependence of convective motions can be related to the formation of mantle plumes and changes in plate motion and geometry. Time-dependence is reflected in hotspot activity [1], continent aggregation and breakup on the Earth, and the possible global resurfacing on Venus [2]. On time scales of billions of years, changes in convective behaviour may accompany the secular cooling of planets [3,4].

In order to study time-dependent convective behaviour and heat transport at Rayleigh numbers relevant for planetary mantles, we perform a set of nineteen laboratory experiments. We adopt an experimental approach because it allows us to study high Rayleigh number convection in three dimensions with fluids that have a temperature-dependent viscosity [5], though we are unable to simulate important aspects of convection in the Earth’s mantle such as plates and internal heating.

2. Experimental approach

Our model mantle consists of a tank of Newtonian corn syrup with glass side walls and an aluminum top and bottom. The temperatures at the top and bottom of the tank, $T_0$ and $T_1$, respectively, are controlled by circulating water. The entire apparatus
is insulated with 5-cm-thick polystyrene foam. Two sets of experiments are performed. The first set uses a tank with aspect ratio \(3 : 1\) (30 × 30 × 10 cm) and is intended to reproduce previous low Rayleigh number experimental results and test our methodology. The second set uses a tank with aspect ratio \(1 : 1\) (33 × 33 × 33 cm). The greater depth allows us to achieve Rayleigh numbers two orders of magnitude higher than previous equilibrium results \([6–9]\), but at the expense of a large aspect ratio. However, we find that at such high Rayleigh numbers, heat transport is dominated by plumes that are small compared to the tank width so that the effect of the small aspect ratio is reduced.

Temperatures \(T_1, T_0\), and within the tank are measured by an array of 27 J-type thermocouples at time intervals of 1 to 15 s. Six probes, hereafter referred to as the ‘middle thermocouples’, are located halfway between the top and bottom of the tank and at various horizontal positions. Ten thermocouples are located 3.0 mm below the upper surface in order to measure the surface heat flux, and are distributed over the surface. Heat transport is characterized by the Nusselt number, \(Nu\), which is the ratio of the total surface heat flux to that conducted in the absence of convection. Thermal equilibrium is determined by ensuring that both the mean \(Nu\) and internal temperature are unaffected by inertia \([11]\).

Dimensionless parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh number</td>
<td>(Ra_{1/2}) = (\rho g (T_1 - T_0) d^{3/2} / \mu_{1/2} \kappa)</td>
<td>(6.39 \times 10^3) to (1.2 \times 10^8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prandtl number</td>
<td>(Pr = \mu_{1/2} / \rho \kappa)</td>
<td>(4.9 \times 10^3) to (1.1 \times 10^6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity ratio</td>
<td>(\mu(T_1) / \mu(T_0))</td>
<td>6.39 to 397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
<td>(Re = \rho u L / \mu)</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Measured with a glass hydrometer. \(^b\) From Giannandrea and Christensen \([9]\). \(^c\) Measured with rotational viscometer; \(\mu_{1/2} = \mu(T_{1/2})\), where \(T_{1/2} = (T_1 + T_0) / 2\). See Refs. \([5,7–9]\) for the form of the temperature-dependence.

\[^a\] Here \(u\) and \(L\) are the velocity and length scale characteristic of fluid motions; the upper bound is for the experiment at the highest \(Ra_{1/2}\).

\[^b\] Reynolds number \(Re = \rho u L / \mu\) is less than 0.5; this upper bound is based on \(\mu(T_1)\), and the measured plume head radius and rise speed of \(L = 2\) cm and \(u = 3\) mm/s, respectively. Inertial effects can thus be neglected for all our experiments because even for \(Re \sim 1\) the velocity of buoyant regions is largely unaffected by inertia \([11]\).

3. Results and discussion

We determine time-dependent convective style from temperatures recorded by the middle thermocouples. At \(Ra_{1/2}\) less than about \(10^5\), flow is steady and temperatures remain constant. As \(Ra_{1/2}\) is increased, e.g. Fig. 1a for \(Ra_{1/2} = 2.7 \times 10^5\), flow becomes unsteady and temperature fluctuations have a large amplitude and a long period. As \(Ra_{1/2}\) is increased still further, e.g. Fig. 1b for \(Ra_{1/2} = 4.7 \times 10^6\), the amplitude of long-period temperature fluctuations decreases. At still higher \(Ra_{1/2}\),
Fig. 1. Temperature fluctuations at three or four middle thermocouples under conditions of thermal equilibrium. (a) Unsteady convection dominated by large scale flow ($Ra_{1/2} = 2.7 \times 10^5$). (b) Increased plume activity along with large-scale flow ($Ra_{1/2} = 4.7 \times 10^6$). (c) Plume-dominated convection with short period fluctuations and a constant average temperature ($Ra_{1/2} = 5.5 \times 10^7$). Dimensionless temperature, $\tilde{T}$, is defined as $(T - T_0)/(T_1 - T_0)$. Time is normalized by the diffusive time $d^2/\kappa$. (d) Summary of experimental results. The viscosity ratio is $\mu(T_0)/\mu(T_1)$. Shaded area shows the estimated transitions between the three styles of convection: steady, unsteady, and plume-dominated flow. The transition to plume-dominated flow is gradual. The letters a–c indicate the experiments shown in (a)–(c).

The middle thermocouples have a constant average temperature and show no evidence for large-scale flow, e.g. Fig. 1c for $Ra_{1/2} = 5.5 \times 10^7$. We attribute the short-period temperature fluctuations in Fig. 1 to rising and sinking thermal plumes. We refer to flows in which we only observed short-period temperature fluctuations, e.g. Fig. 1c, as ‘plume-dominated’ convection. Indeed, in this limit we observe rising and sinking mushroom-shaped plumes, as shown in Fig. 2. These plumes always appear to consist of a plume head and tail. The detached plume heads observed by Yuen et al. [12] in 2D numerical calculations with free-slip boundaries may be due to the presence of a large-scale flow [13] and do not occur in 3D calculations [14]. In Fig. 1d, we summarize the conditions at which we observe each of the three convective styles: steady, unsteady, and plume-dominated.

In Fig. 3a, we show histograms of the temperature fluctuations corresponding to Fig. 1a–c. For the flows that we call ‘unsteady’, see Fig. 1d, we observe a broad distribution with a superimposed peak at $\tilde{T} \approx 0.7$ that is due to coexisting plumes. Here, $\tilde{T} = (T - T_0)/(T_1 - T_0)$ is a normalized temperature. For plume-dominated flows, we observe a narrow distribution that is approximately exponential. Experimental studies with low Prandtl number fluids (very high Reynolds numbers), find an abrupt change in the distribution of temperature fluctuations, from a Gaussian to an exponential distribution, that defines the transition to ‘hard thermal turbulence’ [15,16]. Our distributions change gradually with increasing

Fig. 2. Shadowgraph showing rising and sinking mushroom-shaped plumes with tails for $Ra_{1/2} = 5.5 \times 10^7$. The shadows cast by three thermocouples are visible in the upper left of the figure. Shadowgraphs are made by temporarily removing pieces of insulation from the sides of the tank.
Fig. 3. (a) Distribution of temperature fluctuations at all of the middle thermocouples for the experiments shown in Fig. 1a–c. Temperature is normalized as in Fig. 1. (b) Power spectrum of temperature fluctuations for \( Ra_{1/2} = 2.7 \times 10^5 \). The higher \( Ra_{1/2} \) is chosen for comparison with numerical calculations: we find a slope of \(-1.7\) similar to the slope obtained by Yuen et al. [12] for the Nu power spectrum. For all experiments, we observe no dominant frequency at the middle thermocouples, in contrast to the experiments performed at low Prandtl numbers [15,16].

In Fig. 4 we plot Nu against \( Ra_{1/2} \). Our measurements for \( Ra_{1/2} \) up to \( \approx 10^6 \) are consistent with previous results [6,7,9] which find a slope of \( \approx 0.28 \). For \( Ra_{1/2} \) greater than \( 5 \times 10^6 \) we observe a distinct (and continual) decrease in slope corresponding to the transition to plume-dominated convection, with
Fig. 4. Nusselt number as a function of $Ra_{1/2}$. Results for $Ra_{1/2}$ less than $10^6$ agree with previous studies [9]. Uncertainty in $Ra_{1/2}$ is based on an estimated uncertainty in $\alpha$ and $\nu$. Uncertainties for Nu at $Ra_{1/2} > 10^6$ are standard deviations of temporal fluctuations of Nu; uncertainties at lower $Ra_{1/2}$ are based on the measurement accuracy of the thermocouples of 0.1 degrees.

$Nu \sim Ra^{0.17}_{1/2}$. This exponent is about half the classical value of $1/3$ [18,19] employed in parametrized thermal evolution models [20–22]. Interestingly, this exponent is nearly identical to that found in secular heating experiments at similar Ra by Lithgow-Bertelloni et al. [23]. Three-dimensional numerical calculations, however, do not find a similar break in slope ([14], P. Tackley, pers. commun.). It is possible that our thermocouple probes (diameter of the glass thermocouple casing is 1.3 mm) influence the flow and Nu. In particular, if the probes establish preferred sites of downwelling, then Nu will be underestimated. We also note that, due to the finite thickness (6.5 mm) and thermal conductivity of the aluminum plates on the top and bottom of the tank, our boundary conditions are not isothermal, and will be affected by the flow.

Although our experimental model does not account for all important features of the Earth’s mantle, in particular internal heating and mobile surface plates, our results illustrate fundamental convective processes that occur at Earth-like Rayleigh numbers. Specifically, we find a large reduction in the rate of convective heat transport at very high Ra which implies significantly less secular cooling over Earth’s history [24].

Finally, our no-slip boundary may be appropriate for one-plate planets such as Venus. Analyses of the geoid and surface deformation suggest that large-scale convection occurs within the Venusian mantle [25]. In order for such large-scale convection to exist beneath a rigid surface, our experimental results indicate that $Ra_{1/2}$ must be less than about $5 \times 10^6$, that is, convection is unsteady and not plume-dominated. However, at such low Ra, thermal plumes will be too large and will form too infrequently to create coronae. Coronae are surface features observed on Venus that are thought to be formed by diapirs or plume heads with diameters of $\sim 100$ km [26,27]. Coronae forming diapirs must thus form by some mechanism other than thermal boundary layer instabilities.
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